

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT TACOMA

8                   TAM TRAN,

9                   Plaintiff,

v.

10                  JOHN P. HAGENSEN,

11                  Defendant.

12                  CASE NO. 3:23-cv-05150-BHS

13                  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  
14                  RECOMMENDATION

15                  THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge David W. Christel's  
16                  Report and Recommendation, Dkt. 5, recommending that the Court deny pro se Plaintiff  
17                  Tam Tran's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismiss the case with prejudice  
18                  and without leave to amend as frivolous and without merit.

19                  This case is one of more than 20 substantially similar cases Tran has filed in this  
20                  District this year. It, like the others, contains no factual allegations and no legal theory of  
21                  liability. Instead, this version asserts only that "Equal Justice Under Law No one above  
22                  the law Judge John P. Hagen[sen] breaking title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964  
                        because Washington Patrol arrest me no translator violate civil right." Dkt. 1-1 at 5. Tran

1 seeks \$10 billion and asserts that he “need[s] Clark County Court Commissioner [to]  
2 resign, and investigation & prose[cute] criminal case.” *Id.*

3           The R&R thoroughly catalogues the deficiencies in this case, which are also  
4 present in Tran’s other cases. Dkt. 5. It recommends dismissal with prejudice and without  
5 leave to amend, and the denial of *in forma pauperis* status in the event of any appeal.

6           Tran has not objected to the R&R, and it is ADOPTED.

7           Tran’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED, and he shall not have  
8 that status in the event of an appeal. The matter is DISMISSED with prejudice and  
9 without leave to amend.

10           Furthermore, Tran’s practice of filing repetitive, facially frivolous complaints,  
11 seeking to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and refusing to amend his complaint is abusive and  
12 vexatious. If he continues to do so, he may be subject to a bar order, precluding him from  
13 filing additional cases in this District without prior court approval. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g);  
14 *see also, e.g., McGlown v. United States Dep’t of Com.*, No. 23-cv-0049 TL, 2023 WL  
15 1778934, at \*3 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 6, 2023).

16           The Clerk shall enter a JUDGMENT and close the case.

17           IT IS SO ORDERED.

18           Dated this 31st day of March, 2023.

19  
20  
21  
22



---

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
United States District Judge